PILOT LOSES RULING OVER SEX CHANGE

New york Times affirm 10/9/84

Appeals Court Reverses Order **Requiring Airline to Rehire** Dismissed Transsexual

tiot could dusta

SWE

adiria וסודום

of the

1A

811

picys eris task Spac lifim 6363

> DATEC sim

\* 161S

Tob

50

adi TA bud MICH

1 10

loed

Met

of 1 MBO 293

CHICAGO, Aug. 31 (AP) — A Fed-eral appeals panel, finding that civil rights laws do not protect transsexuals from discrimination, has overturned a eral appeals from discrimination, has overturned a ruling that ordered Eastern Airlines to reinstate a pilot who was dismissed after a sex-change operation.

after a sex-change operation. The ruling was issued Wednesday in the airline's appeal of a lawsuit brought by Karen Frances Ulane, 43 years old, of Darien, Ill. She sued East-ern over her dismissal in 1981, seeking reinstatement to her \$50,000-a-year job as well as back pay and benefits. "She is very disappointed" with the ruling, Dean Dickie, Miss Ulane's at-torney, said Thursday. Mr. Dickie said he would seek a rehearing of the deci-sion before the full appeals court. Eastern is withholding comment on the matter until its legal department can review a copy of the appellate deci-sion, a spokesman, Mark Wegel, said in a telephone interview. Miss Ulane, as Kenneth Ulane, flew

Miss Ulane, as Kenneth Ulane, flew 25 missions in the Vietnam War and was awarded an Air Medal. Before undergoing the sex-change operation in 1980, the pilot worked for the airline for 12 years

In February 1983, Federal District Judge John Grady ruled that transsex-uals were protected from discrimina-tion under the Federal Civil Rights Act 1964. of 196 But

But the three-judge panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit said in its 12-page deci-sion that the civil rights measure did not "outlaw discrimination against a person who has a sevent identity disc person who has a sexual identity disor-

der." "While we do not condone discrimi-nation in any form," the court said, cit-ing the civil rights act, "we are con-strained to hold that the act does not protect transsexuals, and this count must be reversed for lack of jurisdic-tion."

Noting that the 1964 act did not define sex in barring discrimination on the basis of sex, the judges said that if the term "is to mean more than biological male or biological female, the new defi-nition must come from Congress."

Judge Grady said the airline's con-tentions that the pilot's presence in the cockpit posed safety hazards were "a pretext and sham of the first order," and later ruled that Eastern had dis-criminated against Miss Ulane be-

cause she was a woman. But the appeals panel rejected that finding also, saying Judge Grady had not made the necessary factual find-ings to support his conclusion.